

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/520,272	01/14/2005	Toshiro Yamada	80585(302765)	4526
21874 7590 EDWARDS ANGELI, PALMER & DODGE LLP P.O. BOX 55874			EXAMINER	
			AHMED, SHAMIM	
BOSTON, MA 02205			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1792	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/12/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/520 272 YAMADA ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Shamim Ahmed 1792 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 May 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.2.6 and 7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2,6 and 7 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SZ/UE)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ______.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/520,272 Page 2

Art Unit: 1792

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2 and 6-7 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- Claims 1,2 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sato et al. (6,270,948) in view of Masataka et al. (WO 02/21586 A1).

Sato et al disclose a plasma etching process including the step of etching a substrate through a photoresist pattern, wherein the photoresist film (col.72, lines 6-18) Application/Control Number: 10/520,272

Art Unit: 1792

is irradiated with a radiation having a wavelength of 195nm to form a resist pattern of about 180 nm width (col.72, lines 22-30 and lines 41-49).

Sato et al disclose that the substrate is etched with fluorine-containing plasma gas (col.73, lines 4-8).

Sato et al fail to teach the fluorine-containing compound having at least one of perfluoro-2-pentyne or 1,1,1,3,4,4,5,5,5 nonafluoro-2-pentene as an etching gas.

However, Masataka et al teach a dry etching process using an etching gas comprises 2-Pentene, 1,1,1,2,4,4,5,5,5-nonafluoro-) with the formula of $CF_3CF=CHCF_2-CF_3$ and said dry etching gas permits to formation of pattern such as contact hole which has a high aspect ratio (see the abstract and the entire document for the showing of the use of various similar gases).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time of claimed invention to modify Sato et al's etching gas with Masataka et al's etching gas for achieving high aspect ratio during the etching process as suggested by Masataka et al.

 Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sato et al (6,270,948) in view of Masataka et al (WO 02/21586 A1) as applied to claims 1,2 and 7 above, and further in view of Collins et al (5,556,501).

Modified Sato et all discuses above in the paragraph 4 but remain silent regarding the claimed plasma density.

Application/Control Number: 10/520,272

Art Unit: 1792

However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time of claimed invention to perform the etching utilizing the modified teaching of Sato et al using the claimed plasma density, which is supported by Collins et al. for a desired result.

Collins et al illustrate a plasma apparatus is used to form plasma having plasma density of 1-2x10¹² ions/cm³ (col.24, lines 48-52).

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shamim Ahmed whose telephone number is (571) 272Application/Control Number: 10/520,272

Art Unit: 1792

1457. The examiner can normally be reached on Tu-Fri (12:30-10:30) Every Monday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nadine G. Norton can be reached on (571) 272-1465. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Shamim Ahmed/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792

SA August 5, 2008